

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,885	LORENZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

All Participants:

(1) Helen Rossoshek.

(2) John Curran (registration No. 50,445).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2006

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,13,17,18,24,26,28,33,41,42,46,47,53

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an amendment to mentioned above claims. Applicant's representative agreed and added some more amendments to clarify claim's language.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)